5. Hamiltonian simulation (LCU): pt.1

Isaac H. Kim (UC Davis)

Lineor Comlina tion of Unitaries

Recap

-'#t e

- \Delta QPE is very useful for
 - energy estimation
 - ground state preparation
 - QPE cost $\approx 1/\epsilon$ times Hamiltonian simulation cost for a unit time.
- Better Hamiltonian simulation → Better time-evolution simulation, energy estimation,
 ground state preparation, ...
- Trotter-Suzuki: An efficient algorithm for Hamiltonian simulation on a quantum computer

Post-Trotter methods

- Hamiltonian simulation from Trotter-Suzuki decomposition was first proposed by Lloyd (1996).
- In the 2010s, many new ideas appeared. They are interesting, because they come with a better complexity bound than the Trotter-Suzuki method.
- Strangely, many of these algorithms attempt to approximate e^{-iHt} by a *non-unitary operation*.
- We will talk about one such method, known as the <u>LCU(</u>=linear combination of unitaries).

Basic intuition

• What we want:
$$|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\psi'\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi\rangle$$
.

- What we do: $|\psi\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow \sqrt{p} |\widetilde{\psi'}\rangle|Success\rangle + \sqrt{1-p}|Fail\rangle$, where $|\widetilde{\psi'}\rangle \approx |\psi'\rangle$, by applying a linear combination of unitaries (LCU). [Childs and Wiebe (2012)]
- Then we "boost" the success probability to 1.

Toy example 1

• Suppose we want to apply an arbitrary 2×2 matrix on a qubit, using a unitary quantum circuit. What can we do?

$$\begin{array}{l} A: 2x2 \quad \text{matrix} \\ |\Psi\rangle: 1 - \eta A_1 \Psi > f_{\|A\|\Psi\rangle\|} \\ \|\Psi\rangle \longrightarrow A_1 \Psi > f_{\|A\|\Psi\rangle\|} \\ \left(\|\|\Phi\rangle\| = \sqrt{\langle\Phi\|\Phi\rangle} \right) \\ Fort: A = d_2 I + d_{\Psi} X + d_{\Psi} Y + d_2 Z \\ (d_2, d_3, d_3, d_2 \in \mathbb{C}) \\ \text{Register with 2 quites} \\ \|I\rangle, \|X\rangle, \|Y\rangle, |Z\rangle \\ (d_1 |I\rangle + d_x |X\rangle + d_y |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle) \|\Psi\rangle \implies d_1 |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_x |X\rangle X|\Psi\rangle + d_y |Y\rangle Y|\Psi\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_x |X\rangle + d_y |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle) \|\Psi\rangle \implies d_1 |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_x |X\rangle X|\Psi\rangle + d_y |Y\rangle Y|\Psi\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_x |X\rangle + d_y |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle) \|\Psi\rangle \implies d_1 |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle X|\Psi\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_x |X\rangle + d_y |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle) \|\Psi\rangle \implies d_1 |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle X|\Psi\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle) |\Psi\rangle \implies d_1 |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle X|\Psi\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle) |\Psi\rangle \implies d_1 |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle X|\Psi\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle = |I\rangle|\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |\Psi\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle \\ \left(d_1 |I\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle |Z\rangle |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d_2 |Z\rangle + d_1 |Y\rangle + d$$

$$U[Z](\Psi) = |Z\rangle Z|\Psi\rangle$$

$$\int Jf J \text{ measure } |\Phi|\rangle$$

$$d_{Z}(\Psi) + d_{X}X|\Psi\rangle + d_{Z}Z|\Psi\rangle$$

Toy example 2

• Suppose we want to apply $e^{-iH\delta t} \approx I - iH\delta t$, where *H* is a local Hamiltonian. What can we do?

$$H = -t \sum_{i} (a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{i+1} + h \cdot c.) + U \sum_{i} \hat{n}_{i}\hat{n}_{i+1}.$$

$$H = -\frac{t}{2} \sum_{i} (X_{i}X_{i+1} + Y_{i}Y_{i+1}) + U \sum_{i} \frac{(Z_{i} + 1)(Z_{i+1} + 1)}{4}.$$

$$K_{i}, Y_{i}, Z_{i} \qquad H = \sum_{i} d_{p} P$$

$$P_{k} \text{ Tensor produce of Poul's operators}$$

$$(K_{i}) \chi_{1} \otimes \chi_{2} \otimes J_{4} - \cdots$$

$$H = \sum_{i} d_{p} P$$

$$P_{k} \text{ Tensor produce of Poul's operators}$$

$$(K_{i}) \chi_{1} \otimes \chi_{2} \otimes J_{4} - \cdots$$

$$H = \sum_{i} d_{p} P$$

$$P_{k} \text{ Tensor produce of } S_{i} \otimes J_{4} - \cdots$$

$$H = \sum_{i} d_{p} P$$

$$P_{k} \text{ Tensor produce } A_{i} \otimes F_{i} \otimes J_{4} - \cdots$$

$$H = \sum_{i} d_{p} P$$

$$P_{i} \text{ Tensor produce } A_{i} \otimes F_{i} \otimes J_{4} - \cdots$$

U 1P> 14> = 1P> P14>

Hamiltonian Simulation

- Suppose we want to apply $e^{-iH\delta t}$, where *H* is a local Hamiltonian and the norm of $H\delta t$ is ≈ 0 . What can we do?
- A: Taylor expansion! [Berry, Childs, Cleve, Kohtari, and Somma (2014)]





- SELECT is an important subroutine used in modern quantum algorithms.
- Think of it as a "lookup table."
- We will see this subroutine again, later in the course.

$$\frac{dP_{1}, R_{2}, \dots, P_{n}}{1 \times 14} \longrightarrow SELECT |K \rangle |4\rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{1} = |K \rangle P_{K} |4\rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{1} = |K \rangle P_{K} |4\rangle$$

$$\bigcup |p\rangle |\psi\rangle = |p\rangle |\psi\rangle$$

PREPARE

- PREPARE is another important subroutine.
- In the context of the Hamiltonian simulation, this prepares the state that encodes the coefficients of the Hamiltonian.
- We will see this subroutine again, later in the course.

$$\sum_{p} d_{p} |p\rangle$$

$$p \text{REPAPE } |0 \dots 0\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{m} d_{k} |k\rangle$$

$$\text{SELE}(7 |k\rangle |\psi) = |k\rangle P_{k} |\psi\rangle$$

$$\left(\{ P_{i}, \dots, P_{h} \} \right)$$

SELECT + PREPARE

• With these two, we can implement the desired operation.

$$\frac{PREPAPE[0...o?]}{SELEC7|K?|\Psi?} = \frac{m}{K} \frac{d_{K}|K?}{P_{K}|\Psi?} \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right]$$

$$\frac{PREPAPE^{\dagger}}{F} SELEC7 \qquad PREPARE \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \end{array}\right) \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_{L}, \dots & P_{h} \\ \end{array}\right]$$
 \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 & P_

$$Ht = \sum_{k=1}^{n} kk l^{2} P_{k}$$

$$H_{l} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k} z_{k+1} |k_{k}|^{2}$$

$$H_{l} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} x_{k+1}$$

$$U$$

$$SELECT |\lambda'\rangle |\Psi'\rangle$$

$$SELECT |\lambda'\rangle |\Psi'\rangle$$

$$SELECT |\lambda'\rangle |\Psi'\rangle$$

$$= |\lambda'\rangle z_{k} z_{k+1} |\Psi'\rangle$$

$$PREPARE = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{k+1} |\lambda'\rangle$$

$$PREPARE = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{k+1} |\lambda'\rangle$$

7

Why bother with this approach?

K=1

- In the Trotter-based Hamiltonian simulation, there is an inevitable $O(poly(e^{-1}))$ scaling in the precision e.
- Using the LCU approach, one can get a sub-logarithmic scaling in $1/\epsilon$. [Berry, Childs, Cleve, Kohtari, and Somma (2013, 2014)] $\int \frac{\log(1/\epsilon)}{\log b_2(1/\epsilon)}$
- This is especially important for quantum chemistry applications, because they tend to require high-precision calculations.

Summary

- In the Trotter-based Hamiltonian simulation, there is an inevitable $O(poly(e^{-1}))$ scaling in the precision e.
- Using the LCU approach, one can get a sub-logarithmic scaling in $1/\epsilon$. [Berry, Childs, Cleve, Kohtari, and Somma (2013, 2014)]
- Using SELECT + PREPARE, we can apply the desired unitary with a nonzero probability.
- However, we haven't discussed how to boost this probability. That will come in the next lecture.